Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	120/	10/047,256	WHITBY ET AL.
	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Krisanne Jastrzab (formerly Thornton)	1744
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) Krisanne Jastrzab (formerly Thornton).		(3)	
(2) Atty. William Schwarze.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 May 2004		Time:	
	☐ Applica	int's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: claims 1 and 10 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	HE GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant did not result in resolution of all issues. A brie 	eation. The separate re	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview. since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Siç	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/047,256

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Schwarze in order to put the case in condition for allowance. She indicated that the arguments presented 3/18/2004 were persuasive, but that the independent claims required clarification of the controlled aspect of the periodic supply, as well as differentiation of the two, separate fragrances. Mr. Schwarze agree to language conveying such for amendments to claims 1 and 10 and the Examiner agreed to perform such changes with an Examiner's Amendment..